

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,768 07/15/2003		Lars Jahn	Q75545	6025	
23373 7	590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			ALLEN, ANDRE J		
SUITE 800	'LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ (~			
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		10/618	3,768	JAHN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Andre	J. Allen	2855				
The Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commune	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addr	ess			
THE MAI  - Extension after SIX (  - If the periodal Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 and for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. D) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDO	timely filed fays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this come NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	snonsive to communication(s) file	d on 15 July 2003	,					
· —								
<u>'</u>	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla	nim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a	nnlication						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	nim(s) is/are allowed.	C With Idia Will In Olli	consideration.					
_	nim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
<u> </u>	nim(s) <u>7-70</u> is/are rejected. nim(s) is/are objected to.							
		tion and/or alastic	n roquiroment					
O) Cia	nim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	n requirement.					
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) objected to by the	e Examiner.				
App	olicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(	s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Re <sub>l</sub>	placement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is red	quired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)□ <i>A</i> 1.[ 2.[ 3.[	_ ' '	documents have to documents have to documents have to the priority document for the priority document for the formal Bureau (PCT to the document)	peen received. Deen received in Applications Deen received in Applications Deen received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
•	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) X Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or (s)/Mail Date 7-6-03		5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Il Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Sterin et al and Schaefer.

Regarding claim 1 Suzuki teaches four rollers(col.6 lines 60-68), however Suzukui does not teach each roller having an irregular surface cover and four asynchronous motors, each of which drives a respective one of the rollers.

Sterin et al teaches a roller assembly comprising an irregular surface (fig. 16a) and Schafer teaches four motors 12 that operate with a roller assembly (fig. 1) and rotate each respective one of the rollers.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of roller assemblies to modify the roller assembly taught by Suzuki with a motor to directly be coupled to operate the roller assembly as taught by Schaffer and a roller assembly having rollers with an irregular surface as taught by Sterin et al and four motors as taught by Schafer for the purpose of simulating the environment of

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

a tire also, actuating a roller assembly to rotate along a particular axis and controllably operate the speed of each roller.

With respect to an asynchronous motor, since Suzuki in view of Sterin et al and Schaefer discloses at least one type of motor to actuate a rotation with respect to the rollers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to choose the most optimum and efficient motor that is readily available to the public after undo-experimentation. Furthermore, lacking any criticality it would appear that the motor assembly disclosed in Schaefer would perform equally as well.

Regarding claims 2 and 3 Suzuki in view of Sterin et al and Schaefer does not teach any particular dimensions with respect to the rollers as claimed, however it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify each roller to define whatever particular dimensions necessary to test an automobile since it has been held that a change in the size of a prior art device is a consideration within the skill of the art. In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955) and it appears that the apparatus' as taught by Suzuki in view of Sterin et al and Schaefer would suggest some set of dimensions that are effectively implemented in to operate these particular parts of machinery.

Regarding claim 11 Suzuki and Sterin et al does not explicitly disclose control units, each of which controls speed and angular synchronism of a respective one of the rollers. Schaefer teaches a control unit 52 for the motors 12. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the roller assembly taught by Suzuki and

Application/Control Number: 10/618,768

Art Unit: 2855

Sterin et al to include a motor controller as taught by Schaefer for the purpose of actuating and efectively controlling rotational speeds of the rollers.

### Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 4-10 and 12-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior art does not disclose nor suggest a roller assembly for a road simulation test assembly to include rollers each comprising a plurality of coating rows extending in axial direction along respective outer circumferences of the rollers, each pavement row comprises a plurality of paving stones arranged side by side and in the first operating mode, the rollers are driven by the asynchronous motors and the motor vehicle is operated in neutral, and in the second operating mode, the rollers are driven by the motor vehicle...

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patents 5000038, 5101660, 4385518 and 4385518 all disclose apparatus' that simulate road test.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre J. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2174. The examiner can normally be reached on mon-fri 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2855

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on 571-272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tollfree).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

A.J.A Art Unit 2855